### BARNSLEY METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL

This matter is not a Key Decision within the Council's definition and has not been included in the relevant Forward Plan

**Report of the Service Director – Finance** 

### **REVISED FUTURE COUNCIL 2020 CAPITAL PROGRAMME**

# 1. Purpose of Report

- 1. 1 The Council approved the three year 2017- 2020 capital programme as part of the budget setting papers in February 2017.
- 1.2 This was undertaken on a prioritisation basis based on criteria outlined in the report and on information at the time. Subsequent to this, there have been a number of changes that require a revision to this programme.
- 1.3 The purpose of the report is to outline the changes and propose a revised programme.

### 2. Recommendation

2.1 It is recommended that the changes to the programme / schemes as set out at 3.6 and Appendix B are approved and progressed to full business case stage in line with the agreed process.

### 3. Overall Resource Position

- 3.1 The report in February set out the available resources to the Council for the capital new starts at £63.1m. Initial proposals were submitted totalling £115m and a prioritisation process was carried out to set a capital programme of £55.9m. This position set aside a prudent contingency of around 10% to cover off cost overruns and variances.
- 3.2 Since the capital programme was set in February funding associated with the approved schemes has changed. The Council has received additional funding from SCR of around £1m at the end of 2016/17 which can now be used to fund the capital programme.
- 3.3 In addition, a number of scheme proposals were not prioritised due to insufficient information being available at that time. These are:-
  - **Penistone ALC** additional school place requirement of around 250 in the west of the borough so a 3 storey extension is proposed:
  - Dodworth Road/ Broadway junction improvements to improve traffic flows; and
  - **Pet Crematorium** to install a memorial for pets at Cannon Hall with the potential for income generation.

- 3.4 These schemes have all been scored against the original scoring criteria and the outcome is that all 3 schemes would have been prioritised within the original approved programme.
- 3.5 It is therefore proposed that these 3 schemes are brought into the capital programme to be partly funded by the additional funding identified at 3.2 above and bridging the remaining gap by de-prioritising those schemes ranked the lowest on the previous approved list (and which have not already incurred spending commitments in the interim period). The schemes that were the lowest ranked on the original approved list were:-
  - Wakefield Road Junction; and
  - Harborough Hills Sub Station acquisition of land
- 3.6 The revised position reflecting the above changes is as follows:-

# Reconciliation of Changes to Capital Programme

Ref	Proposal	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	Total
		£	£	£	£	£
	Original Approved Programme	737,000	25,900,000	25,860,000	3,425,000	55,922,000
	Changes to Original Approval					
Various	Rephasing from Original Approval	805,000	(795,000)	(10,000)	-	-
BU12 I1	Microsoft Licensing Shortfall	(11,970)	(106,000)	-	-	(117,970)
BU4 I2a	Property Investment Fund - HCA Land	-	(1,139,000)	-	-	(1,139,000)
BU5 I17	Housing Re-development at Longcar Lane (32 units)	75,000	(1,400,000)	1,447,348	-	122,348
BU6 I18	A61 Old Mill Lane	-	(1,300,000)	1,400,000	175,000	275,000
	Revised Opening Position	1,605,030	21,160,000	28,697,348	3,600,000	55,062,378
	Additional Schemes					
	Penistone School – Add Places *	-	2,011,450	-	-	2,011,450
	Dodworth Rd/ Broadway	-	1,814,000	1,980,000	(1,185,000)	2,609,000
	Pet Crematorium	-	150,000			150,000
	To be funded by					
BU6 I16	Wakefield Road Junction	-	(150,000)	(1,600,000)	(50,000)	(1,800,000)
	Commercial Land – Harborough Hills	-	(1,600,000)	-	-	(1,600,000)
	Proposed Revised Programme	1,605,030	23,385,450	29,077,348	2,365,000	56,432,828

3.7 The revised programme is provided at Appendix 1. The revised position adds £511k to the original programme but this can be prudently covered by the uncommitted contingency that was originally set aside. This adjustment would leave the contingency at £6.6m which is still considered a prudent provision.

### 4. <u>Implications for local people /service users</u>

4.1 No implications.

# 5. <u>Financial Implications</u>

5.1 The financial implications are contained within the body of the report and within the attached Appendix A.

### 6. Employee Implications

6.1 No implications.

### 7. Communications Implications

7.1 No implications.

### 8. Consultations

- (a) **Financial Implications** Financial Services have been consulted on this report and the financial implications are detailed in section 5.
- (b) **Employee Implications** No implications.
- (c) **Legal Implications** No implications.
- (d) **Policy Implications** No implications.
- (e) **Health and Safety Considerations** No implications.
- (f) Implications for Other Services No implications.

### 9. Tackling Health Inequalities

9.1 No implications.

### 10. Climate Change and Sustainable Energy Act 2006

10.1 No implications.

# 11. Risk Management Issues, Including Health and Safety

11.1 No implications.

### 12 Promoting Equality, Diversity and Social Inclusion

12.1 No implications.

# 13 <u>List of Appendices</u>

Appendix A – Financial Implications Appendix B – Revised Capital Programme

# 14 **Background Papers**

Service and Financial Planning 2017/18 - Revenue Budget, Capital Programme and Council Tax (Cab.8.2.2017/7) – Item 7

Officer Contact: Neil Copley, Service Director Finance

Telephone: 773237

Date: 11 May 2017